UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,903	04/01/2005	Minoru Wada	268849US3PCT	5289
22850 7590 07/16/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			NORDMEYER, PATRICIA L	
ALEXANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1772		
	•			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office A - 4! Occur		10/529,903	WADA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1772			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M	<u>ay 2007</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims	· .				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary , Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P				

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 21, 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Riboud (USPN 3,417,418) in view of Wood (USPN 5,763,038).

Riboud discloses an adhesive roll cleaner (Figures 1-5; Column 1, lines 23-24) comprising a core tube including a plurality of adhesive tapes (Column 2, lines 8-14), each of the adhesive tapes having an adhesive applied to one side there to form an adhesive portion (Column 2, lines 1-5), each of the adhesive tapes being helically wound (Column 2, lines 8-11, wherein spirally is the same as helically) around the core tube in a layered configuration with the adhesive portion out (Column 2, lines 1-5) and each of the adhesive tapes being would with

Art Unit: 1772

a gap of prescribed with between every adjacent turn (Column 2, lines 13 – 14) as in claims 1 and 11. However, Riboud fails to teach the adhesive tape having different widths, the adhesive tape is located at an upper layer having a larger width than that of the adhesive tape which is located at the lower layer, the width of the adhesive tapes increases stepwise toward the upper layer, the adhesive tapes each have a non-adhesive portion with no adhesive applied on both longitudinal sides of the adhesive tape, the positions of the gaps are different between adjacent layers in the thickness direction, wherein the width of the of the adhesive tapes in each layer stepwise increases and the adhesive tapes within a group all have a same width and the adhesive tapes having different widths being arranged such that he width of the adhesive tapes stepwise increases toward an outside so that the adhesive tape that is lowest has the smallest width and an adhesive tape that is uppermost has a largest width, and a width of an adhesive tape which is positioned in the middle of the uppermost and lowest adhesive tapes is between the largest and smallest width and the angle of winding increases from the lower layer to the upper layer.

Wood teaches an adhesive tape which is located at the upper layer having a larger length than that of the adhesive tape which is located at the lower layer (Column 1, lines 53 - 56), the length of the adhesive tapes increases stepwise or gradually toward the upper layer (Column 3, line 55 to Column 4, line 12), the positions of the perforations are different between adjacent layers in the thickness direction (Figure 4, #32) and the adhesive tapes each have a non-adhesive portion with no adhesive applied on both longitudinal sides of the adhesive tape (Column 2, lines 23 - 29) as part of a lint removal tape (Column 4, line 63) for the purpose of having an outermost

Art Unit: 1772

sheet that covers the perforations of the underneath layers which reduces instances of the tape tearing in a downweb direction (Column 1, lines 59 - 63).

Page 4

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to have provided the a upper layer having an increasing larger length the lower adhesive tape and the adhesive tape having non-adhesive portions in Riboud in order to have an outermost sheet that covers the perforations of the underneath layers which reduces instances of the tape tearing in a downweb direction as taught by Wood.

With regard to the limitations of "wherein the width of the of the adhesive tapes in each layer stepwise increases and the adhesive tapes within a group all have a same width and the adhesive tapes having different widths being arranged such that he width of the adhesive tapes stepwise increases toward an outside so that the adhesive tape that is lowest has the smallest width and an adhesive tape that is uppermost has a largest width, and a width of an adhesive tape which is positioned in the middle of the uppermost and lowest adhesive tapes is between the largest and smallest width and the angle of winding increases from the lower layer to the upper layer", it is well settled that a particular shape of a prior invention carries no patentable weight unless the applicant can demonstrate that the new shape provides significant unforeseen improvements to the invention. In the instant case, the application does not indicate any new, significant attributes of the invention due to its shape that would have been unforeseen or even an unforeseen result to one of ordinary skill in the art. Wood teaches an adhesive tape which is located at the upper layer having a larger length than that of the adhesive tape which is located at

Art Unit: 1772

the lower layer (Column 1, lines 53 - 56), the length of the adhesive tapes increases stepwise or gradually toward the upper layer (Column 3, line 55 to Column 4, line 12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to change the shape of the adhesive sheet to vary the width. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to do so in order to have the sheets fit smoothly around the core of the adhesive roller. MPEP 2144.04.

4. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Riboud (USPN 3,417,418) in view of Wood (USPN 5,763,038) as applied to claims 1-3, 6 and 9-11 above, and further in view of Shizuno et al. (US PGPub 2003/0088928).

Riboud, as modified with Wood, discloses an adhesive roll cleaner comprising a core tube and a plurality of adhesive tapes, each of the adhesive tapes having an adhesive applied to one side there to form an adhesive portion, each of the adhesive tapes being helically wound around the core tube in a layered configuration with the adhesive portion out, each of the adhesive tapes being would with a gap of prescribed with between every adjacent turn and the adhesive tape which is located at the upper layer having a larger width than that of the adhesive tape which is located at the lower layer. However, the modified Riboud fails to disclose the gap being between a width of 0.1 to 4.0 mm and the adhesive tapes each have a tear strength of 500 mN or greater as measured with an Elmendorf tear test in accordance with JIS P8116.

Shizuno et al. teach an adhesive roll cleaner that has a gap being between a width of 0.1 to 4.0 mm (Page 2, Paragraph 0018) and the adhesive tapes each have a tear strength of 500 mN or greater as measured with an Elmendorf tear test in accordance with JIS P8116 (Page 2, Paragraph 0021) for the purpose of preventing tearing of the adhesive sheet due to clinging debris (Page 2, Paragraph 0024).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to have provided the gap and tear strength in the modified Riboud in order to prevent tearing of the adhesive sheet due to clinging debris as taught by Shizuno et al.

5. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Riboud (USPN 3,417,418) in view of Wood (USPN 5,763,038) as applied to claims 1-3, 6 and 9-11 above, and further in view of Hukuba (USPN 5,548,861).

Riboud, as modified with Wood, discloses an adhesive roll cleaner comprising a core tube and a plurality of adhesive tapes, each of the adhesive tapes having an adhesive applied to one side there to form an adhesive portion, each of the adhesive tapes being helically wound around the core tube in a layered configuration with the adhesive portion out, each of the adhesive tapes being would with a gap of prescribed with between every adjacent turn and the adhesive tape which is located at the upper layer having a larger width than that of the adhesive tape which is located at the lower layer. However, the modified Riboud fails to disclose each

Art Unit: 1772

adhesive tape including a mark indicative of a peel position and where the mark is on a

longitudinal side area not including adhesive.

Hukuba teaches each adhesive tape including a mark indicative of a peel position (Figure

6, #8) and where tape has a longitudinal side not including adhesive (Figure 3, #13) for the

purpose of indicating the direction along which the sheet is taken or the sheet winding direction

(Column 8, lines 13 - 15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's

invention was made to have provided the marking in the modified Riboud in order to indicate the

direction along which the sheet is taken or the sheet winding direction as taught by Hukuba.

Hukuba discloses the claimed invention except for the mark is on a longitudinal side area

not including adhesive. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to locate the mark on the longitudinal side area not including

adhesive, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill

in the art. MPEP 2144.04.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed May 21, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Art Unit: 1772

In response to Applicant's arguments that the prior art fails to disclose the adhesive tapes having different widths, Wood teaches an adhesive tape which is located at the upper layer having a larger length than that of the adhesive tape which is located at the lower layer (Column 1, lines 53 – 56), the length of the adhesive tapes increases stepwise or gradually toward the upper layer (Column 3, line 55 to Column 4, line 12). It is well settled that a particular shape of a prior invention carries no patentable weight unless the applicant can demonstrate that the new shape provides significant unforeseen improvements to the invention. In the instant case, the application does not indicate any new, significant attributes of the invention due to its shape that would have been unforeseen or even an unforeseen result to one of ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to change the shape of the adhesive sheet to vary the width. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to do so in order to have the sheets fit smoothly around the core of the adhesive roller. MPEP 2144.04.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Nordmeyer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1496. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 10:00-7:30 & alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena L. Dye can be reached on (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Faturia L Mordineyan Patricia L. Nordmeyer

Examiner Art Unit 1772

pln